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ABSTRAK

New a days, the city image, in particular the emphasis of building's facades, have been given wide attention in city renovation and development. It seems the city images are classified as poor image and rich image by people evaluation which dependent to visual architectural and urban elements in building facades. Building's facades in Iranian cities, especially in historical parts, has its own special character in variety of design, form, material and aspect which have been appeared well in Karimi street in old area of Lahijan city, located in north of Iran. Base on Lahijan's people evaluation, the study has tried to clarify the architectural and urban elements which influence the city image. Previous studies in building facades features and city image were used to distinguish the way and public evaluation were measured through questionnaire and studied by quantitative method. The outcome showed that design, architectural style, color and shape evaluated are most important elements in city image aspect and it should be considered in future development and historical area renovation.
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INTRODUCTION

It has been revealed that the quality of image extracts from combination of different factors such as shapes, forms, and colors of the building (Kong and Yeoh, 2004). In fact, image of the place reflects different meanings and values of the components, factors, and characters that formed out the particular venue. Facades do play an important role in design of urban and environmental design. Facades should not be reminded as skin and face of the building but may carry out other meaning as well. A conducted investigation defined two functions for façade namely; use and value of structure (Huxtable, 2004). It reveals that beside common role in buildings façades also represent the value of building structure as well. Moreover, facades are considered as a linkage between inside and outside environment (Hayashi, 2004). He notes that façade may determine the scale of the space and building. (Askari, 2009). mentioned that people evaluation of building facades is based on visual elements such as shape, color and architectural style which indicates that the architectural visual elements influence the image of building facades. Therefore, the study attempts to imply the visual elements of building facade which influence the public evaluation.

CITY IMAGE AND ROLE OF BUILDING FAÇADE

This part begins with description about the image of the city and its components. The image of the city is mostly related to the visual quality of the city which is named metal quality of the city. Facades do play an important role in design of urban and environmental design. A conducted investigation defined two functions for façade namely;
use and value of structure (Huxtable, 2004). It reveals that beside common role in buildings façades also represent the value of building structure as well. Moreover, façades are considered as a linkage between inside and outside environment (Hayashi, 2004). (Askari, A. 2009), delineate façades are representative of the face of the city (city image). Building facade is known as feature of environment image of city therefore it is very critical and challenging to use a certain and specific ornamentation to establish a rich context (Moughtin, 1995). Hui in 2007, through conducting a survey of public evaluation toward the city image in China, revealed that building façade do play an important role in urban landscape and image of the city. He also argued that regarding to enhance the images in districts, style, color, volume, material of the building structure, and perceived value about building are challenging and crucial visual elements. So it is expressed that façade as important parcel of building is considered as representative device for inner and outer. It produces the image of a historical district in city (Askari, A., 2009). As Abu-Ghazzeh (1997) has portrayed façade, influence the quality of city image. Since this research is kind of public evaluation for city image particularly façade building in historical district, therefore, façade components are determined from the literature such as form, shape, color, material, and structure as well. Understanding of public evaluations and preferences for the quality and condition of the aforementioned details will lead to understand the best pattern of façade in public evaluation. Know what and how much importance are their roles to provide clear and attractive facades in historical district areas.

EVALUATION OF BUILDING FACADE

The previous studies have explained that the quality of the built-environment is evaluated according to its physical features (Gifford et. Al., 2000; Brown and Gifford, 2001), however, the mental presentation public toward buildings is mostly achieved by façade evaluating (Imamoglu, 2000). In other words, buildings’ appearances do play main role in evaluation of built-environment. Nevertheless, some other researchers have described that the emotional positions of the observers in order to evaluate architectural quality of built-environment are also important apart of from physical features solely (Mehrabian and Russell, 1974; Gifford et al., 2000). Regarding to the exterior features, some factors and elements such as cleanliness and ornamentation (Akalin, et al., 2009 and Nasar, 1983), curved lines and decorated figures (frewald, 1990), and details and context (Akalin, et al., 2009) may influence quality evaluation. Moreover, several studies also indicated other effective elements regarding to the evaluation of façade building. For example architectural style (Nasar, 1989 and Hui, 2007), age of the building, size of shape ,value of the building (Hui, 2007) forms, lights (Swirnoff, 1982), color and used materials (Swirnoff, 1982; Hui, 2007) can affect building façade evaluation. According to the previous investigations (Karaman, 2005; Hui, 2007), some criteria such as color and material for beauty of façade of a historical building was determined. It is also necessary to mention that a historical building dominates beauty and artistic quality if it exposures an expression of idea (Coeterier, 2002). However, the other research (Brown and Gifford, 2001) were highlighted clarity, complexity, friendliness, originality, ruggedness, and meaningfulness as cognitive factors that can predict quality of building facades. Some other researches explained evaluation as an image of observer’s reason and emotional answers which are been resulted by cognitive and effective evaluation, respectively (Walmsley and Young, 1998 and Beerli and Martin, 2004). Cognitive evaluation is a complicated brain evaluation supported by knowledge and belief, while affective is more related to the feelings and emotions toward certain object (Beerli and Martin, 2004 and Hami, A., 2009). With consideration of cognitive structure, building facades can be
considered moderately complex. (Delvin and Nasar, 1989) explained building façade orderly and coherent. However, it was argued that visual quality evaluation is in relation with the degree to the consistency between buildings’ facades and their contexts (Askari, A, 2009).

As mentioned earlier the answers of the observers and respondents to architectural evaluation are related to their evaluation of building facades (Mehrabian and Russell, 1974; Gifford et al., 2000). Mehrabian and Russell (1974) claimed that evaluation is extraction of pleasure and arousal inspiration by building facades. Pleasure can be ranged from ugly (displeasing) to beautiful (pleasing), meanwhile arousal is considered from arousing (intense) to un-arousing (numbing) as mentioned by Alkalin et al (2009). In addition, other researchers (Cubukcu and Kahraman, 2008) declared that the evaluation of building facade could be achieved by measuring of preferences (like-dislike), arousal (arousing-sleepy), naturalness (natural-artificial), and relaxation (relaxing-distressing).

From the literature, it can be concluded that there are two basic components for affective (emotional) appraisal, evaluation component of building façade and arousal components. Evaluation contains pleasant-unpleasant and arousal consists of active-inactive. There are other common factors in both evaluative and arousal components. These factors can be grouped as relaxing-distressing, interesting-uninteresting, and fearful-safe (Russell et al., 1981). According to (Berlyne’s, 1974) founds, arousing quality has a direct linear relation with complexity.

PUBLIC PREFERENCE FOR BUILDING FAÇADE

Following the previous researchers such as (Kaplan, 2007; Suhardi, M, 2006; Lekagul, 2002), who conducted research on preference which based on the psychological approach, had been selected as the basis of this study. Some previous studies on environmental preferences have proven that preferences are practical, valid, reliable and systematic approach used to measure people’s preferences so as to gather data, with the aim to categorize the degree of human influences, as well as the elements and features in buildings’ facades as preferred or disliked by people (Moula, F., 2009). The preference approach has been extensively used to evaluate the perception of a particular setting because it is a simple judgment made by people on a daily basis (Lekagul, 2002; Kaplan & Kaplan, 1997). According to (Kaplan and Kaplan, 1985), preference is a product of perception (a.c.f. Lekagul, 2002). (Kaplan, 1975: 93) revealed two basic approaches from perception, “First, it is assumed that perception is oriented to getting along in the world, to making sense out of the environment”; second, perception is a highly inferential process whereby it requires a vast of knowledge, experience and interpretation. More accurately, perception is one of the physical-psychological process through which human acquire information of the environment (Kimball, 1917, a.c.f. from Polakowski, 1975).

Perception involves a highly cognitive process which is rather difficult for the general public to make. Thus, the selection of preference was the most appropriate in this study. Commonly, through previous studies on the preferences on buildings and facades, it is a simple perceptual response which involves a judgment made by the people regarding something which they prefer more than others. However, in order to obtain the people’s preferences towards a particular town environment, various information (presents in the environment and the information which is stored in human brain until it emerges as a measurable and observable response) is therefore required (Moula, F., 2009).
METHODOLOGY

Quantitative method was selected as research methodology and data was collected through a questionnaire survey. (Oppenhein, 1992) explains that the questionnaire is a Fast and simple track to collect the truth for us, while the complexity Len has hidden inside. Askari(2009) delineated that Fink(2003) mentioned questionnaire is self -questionnaire includes questions which answered by respondents. Also Askari(2009) stated that the questionnaire - based survey for similar studies have proven suitability by (e.g., Hanyu, 2000; Imamuglu, 2000; Galidano and Hitaglo, 2005; Akalin et al.2009). The questionnaire was designed as primary data while designed question base on literature review as secondary data. Wording, efficiency and time were checked by pilot study and some questions and word which were recognized difficult chenged by easy words and questions. During the questions asked participants to answer them evaluate about architectural and urban elements which influence historical area of Lahijan city. Likert scale was used to rank their answer in five scaled questions.

PARTICIPANT

The study were established base on Lahijan old area population, whom were calculated 4025 people in year 2010 as Lahijan consulting engineer predicted in Lahijan Historical area study. In other hand, base on Lahijan age pyramid [30] which, presented in that report, 48% of people are between ages 20-60 years, which means 1932 people. Base on 10% of it about 200 people were selected as survey participants. Questionnaire presented that 57.7% were male and 42.3 % women. In addition, the participant's education level falls under three categories, which most are university graduated. 48.2% (n=96) of participants are graduated in university which is the most part of participants. It followed by 30.7% (n=61) of participants in high school graduated and in finally 21.1% (n=42) of participants are in guidance school and below. In the discourse of participant's occupations, most of the participants worked in the governmental sector in 27.9 % (n=42) which followed by self-employee peoples in 26.9 % (n = 54). The students in 19.4 % (n=39) are third group of participants. Householders are almost equaled to students in 18.9% (n=38) and the last group are workless people in 6 % (n=12).
STUDY AREA

Historical area is laid on most Iran cities as well as other cities in other countries. Building’s facades at Karimi Street in Lahijan city, located at historical area, was studied as place, where have various architectural samples as modern and traditional style.

Fig 2. Study area and location in North of Iran
(source: Bo’ed Technique consultant engineering, Lahijan Comprehensive master plan, 2004)

Fig 3. Karimi street Located in Lahijan historical area
(source: Bo’ed Technique consultant engineering, Lahijan Comprehensive master plan, 2004)

DATA ANALYSIS
The questionnaire classified in 4 parts, which in 3part of it's, were asked participants questions while their answer followed by Linkert's scale. In this method a qualitative answer has coded to as comparable quantitative variables. One of organization communication content in a manner is coding process, which allows for easy indexing, retrieval and identification of content relevant to research questions. (Nancy L. Kondracki, MS). Therefore, the analysis of data carried out by the means of contents analysis and Kendall's tau, a measure of correlation analysis (to explore relationship between the evaluation of historical facades and architectural and urban elements through people evaluation to know which elements impressing people evaluation). (Smith M, Hardy RB, 1996) delineated Content analysis is a technique, which allows a quantitative analysis of seemingly qualitative data. (Lekagul,2002;Maulan,2006) Mentioned content analysis was used to identify the characteristic affecting the public's evaluation of build environment. (askari,2009) in this research, the content analysis was used to understand the historical buildings facade characteristic. Thus, the image of building facades ranked base on their mean. To classified strong and weak images in viewpoint of city image by participants, first five images with highest mean ranked as strong image as well five images with lowest score as weak images.

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics for building elements in Lahijan (1= strongly disagree, 2= disagree, 3= I don"t know, 4= agree, 5= strongly agree)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance of architectural style, shape and design</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of Coordination between Old design and modern design</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoration of building facade such as curving, engraving sculpture</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The bright color of building facade is more suit than dark colors</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern design is more favorable than traditional design</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference of mono color as main color of building facade rather than multi color</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference traditional materials (wood, clay, sand...) as the main material of building facade</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULT

Mean score, which obtain by participants opinion about building facade images, presented importance of architectural visual elements .it indicate which facade obtained higher mean score as strong image of historical city image and ranked to facade with lower mean score as poor image of city image. The ranking revealed which architectural and urban elements is more important in city image by public evaluation. According to the table, ‘shape and design of the building (mean= 4.50, sd= 0.71)’ is received the highest preference among the other factors, it followed by ‘coordination between old design and modern design (mean= 4.02, sd= 0.87)’. The participants responded that that they find modern designs are more favorable than traditional design (mean=3.61, sd=1.11). Meanwhile behalf the participants received that they preferring of bright buildings than dark color buildings is the next elements (mean=3.54, sd=1.04). Decoration of building facade is the next preference (mean=3.44, SD=1.06) which selected by participants .participants preferred mono color buildings more than multi color (mean=3.44, SD=.97) in lower score and the
table present that the participants preferred traditional materials rather than the moderns (mean=3.33, sd=1.07).

The Historical Facades with the Highest Mean

Base on the participant's opinion about the historical facade, the facade were arranged in a descending manner as their mean shows from higher to lower mean. Facade with highest score was assumed as strongly representative of historical city image. Therefore, the study tried to find how the facade affected the participant's opinion. To achieve this, the facade characteristics and architectural elements have been analyze and detailed.

Correlation between the Building Facade with the Highest Mean and the Architectural Elements

As indicated in table no 1, the design and shape of building facade are the most important factors as participants mentioned. The second elements are coordination between old buildings and modern buildings, which got second mean. As mentioned ready, the analysis of data carried out by the means of contents analysis and Kendall's tau, a measure of correlation analysis (to explore relationship between the evaluation of historical facades and facade's architectural and urban elements through people evaluation to know which elements impressing people evaluation). (Smith M, Hardy RB, 1996) delineated Content analysis is a technique, which allows a quantitative analysis of seemingly qualitative data. In next step, the correlation between architectural elements such as color, material, shape and design, and architectural style with historical building facade with highest mean has studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>0.12*</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmony &amp; Modernity</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brightness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Fig 4. Historical building facade with highest mean

Table 3. Correlation between the building facade with the lowest mean and the architectural elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Image</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coordination</td>
<td>-0.168*</td>
<td>-0.140*</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brightness</td>
<td>0.142*</td>
<td>0.132*</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).**

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)*
Fig5. Historical building facade with lowest mean

The result showed that the correlation between scenes were almost positive with architectural elements except with brightness for scene no 11. Accurately, there is correlation between the evaluation of scene no 6(r=0.12, p<0.01), scene no 7(r=0.170, p=0.012) and facade architectural style, design and shape, scene no 15(r=0.138, p<0.05) and facade decoration. In addition, the table showed there is about 90% significant correlation between scene no.7(r=0.116, p=0.07) and facade color, scene no.11 (r=0.102, p=0.09) and harmony of modernity's design, scene no.11(r=-0.111, p=0.08) and brightness. The result indicated the facade design having strongest and modernity have weakest correlation with facade with highest mean. In fact, there are architectural elements, which influence the evaluation of the historical facades. Future more, the impression of architectural style is agree with some previous result as (Askari,2009) mentioned it, (Nasar, 1989: stamps Ill,1991,Karaman,200; Hui,2007) and It showed that, the design, and facade decoration, (frewald, 1990), and details and context (Akalin, et al,. 2009) may influence quality evaluation. Architectural style (Nasar, 1989 and Hui, 2007), age of the building and shape ,color (Swirnoff, 1982; Hui, 2007) are other factors, which accepted as important factors in evaluation of facades by research.

The historical facades with the lowest mean

Base on the participant's opinion about the historical facade, the facade were arranged in a descending manner as their mean shows from higher to lower mean. Facades with lowest score were assumed as weakly representative of historical city image. Therefore, the study tried to find how the facade affected the participant's opinion. To achieve this, the facade characteristics and architectural elements have been analyze and detailed.

Correlation between the building facade with the lowest mean and the architectural elements

In table no 3 it is presented there is correlation between the facade with the lowest mean and the architectural elements. The result showed that the correlations between scenes were found negative with coordination between modern and traditional design. Accurately, there is correlation between the evaluation of scene no 5(r=- 0.168, p<0.05), scene no 18(r= - 0.140, p<0.05) and facade coordination between modern and traditional design. Also were found correlation in scene no 5(r=- 0.142, p<0.05) , and brightness as well
scene no. 13(r=0.132, p=0.05). The result indicated the coordination between the modern and traditional style has negative correlation with facade image. Also, facade brightness having strong correlation with facade with lowest mean which confirmed that brightness of facade color has negatively impose on the quality of city image in building facades and it paralleled with the finding of (Swirnoff, 1982), who explained lighted facade as influential factor of facade evaluation.

CONCLUSION

The quality of the built-environment is evaluated according to its physical features (Gifford et. Al., 2000; Brown and Giffted, 2001), however, the mental presentation public toward buildings is mostly achieved by facade evaluating (Imamoglu, 2000). In other words, buildings’ appearances do play main role in evaluation of built-environment. Nevertheless, some other researchers have described that the emotional positions of the observers in order to evaluate architectural quality of built-environment are also important apart of from physical features solely (Mehrabian and Russell, 1974; Gifford et al., 2000). Hui, 2007). base on public evaluation, the study revealed that the architectural and urban features influence the historical city image while some are most important than others. Architectural style, color, shape, and design evaluated by people as most important factor which should be understate in future development. in fact, the rich architectural style with strong design make strong city image as weak design make poor city image. Also, the coordination between modern and traditional design is second important factor in historical city. in other word lake of a policy which, consider coordination of designs is second issue which, impact the city image. As result presented , building facade ‘s decoration and ornaments is the next elements in historical area image .strong facades enjoyed more architectural decoration and ornaments and poor facades are more simple with less decoration. Finally, this study emphasized to make a bridge between people and architects regarding to urban design for future development. in other word, architectural style, design, shape, coordination between modern and traditional design and color should be considered by urban makers in future development as main elements which, influence city image. This issue revealed the city development should participate people whom, directly will judge the city image.
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